

JUN 18 1999

## EPA REGION IX SITE SCREENING/PRIORITIZATION CHECKLIST

This review checklist is to be used by individual site screening staff when reviewing sites which have been brought to the attention of EPA or the State. Each site is reviewed on the merits of the discovery documentation and additional information gathered during the screening process. The guiding principal in evaluating a given site is to use common sense in assessing the information and subsequently presenting the site and its known hazardous potential to the SST. All sections of this form are to be completed for both screens and prioritizations.

### 1.0 GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

Complete Section 1 for the site using readily available information and contacting appropriate individuals. A contact log (Attachment A) should be used to document information gained through correspondence, interviews, and telephone calls. Handwriting is acceptable if it is legible. Attach extra pages if necessary.

### 1.1 Site Information

Site Name:	<u>AMT Metal Fabricators</u>		
Alias Name:	<u></u>		
Site Street Address:	<u>211 Parr Boulevard</u>		
City, County, State:	<u>Richmond, Contra Costa County, California</u>		
EPA ID Number:	<u>None</u>		
Site Screener:	<u>Jacinto Soto</u>	Date:	<u>May 11, 1999</u>
Date of Discovery:	<u>May 7, 1998</u>		
Discovery Vehicle:			
<input type="checkbox"/> County Referral	<input type="checkbox"/> State Referral	<input type="checkbox"/> Lawsuit	
<input type="checkbox"/> Citizen Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> State PA/SI Grant	<input type="checkbox"/> Removal	
<input type="checkbox"/> RCRA Referral	<input type="checkbox"/> Nonemergency Release Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Newspaper	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site Discovery Project		<input type="checkbox"/> Other	
Is this site part of an NPL site? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
CERCLIS Status:	<input type="checkbox"/> Discovery	<input type="checkbox"/> PA	
<input type="checkbox"/> NFA	<input type="checkbox"/> SI	<input type="checkbox"/> ESI	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not in CERCLIS	<input type="checkbox"/> Other/Specify: <u></u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site Discovery Project	
		Area: <u>Richmond</u>	
State oversight role:			
PA/SI Cooperative Agreement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable			
Cooperative Agreement Number: <u>V999252 -02-0</u>			
EPA Project Officer: <u>Rachel Loftin</u>			
RCRA Status:	<input type="checkbox"/> Generator	<input type="checkbox"/> Transporter	
	<input type="checkbox"/> TSDF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not listed in RCRIS	
In a State Database(s)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, specify. <u></u>			
CURRENT ACTIVITY:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site Screening	<input type="checkbox"/> Site Prioritization	

## 1.2 CERCLA Eligibility

If the answer to question 1 is "No", or if the answer to any question of 2 through 8 is "Yes", the site is ineligible for CERCLA evaluation and the decision at the bottom of this page is "No Further Action Under CERCLA". A "yes" answers to questions 9 through 16 identifies sites that may not be appropriate for CERCLA evaluation without further justification. If a question cannot be answered, explain why in the Comments section below.

- |  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| 1. Has a release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants occurred?  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 2. Does the release or threat of release consist only of crude oil or unaltered petroleum product?   | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 3. Is the site subject to corrective action under RCRA Subtitle C (hazardous waste treatment, storage, or disposal facility)?                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 4. Does the release or threatened release fall under the jurisdiction of the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act (UMTRCA)?                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 5. Does the release or threatened release fall under the jurisdiction of the Atomic Energy Act (AEA)?  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 6. Is the release or threatened release a result of a legal application of pesticides under Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 7. Is the release or threatened release regulated under the Oil Pollution Act (OPA)?   | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 8. Is the release or threatened release permitted under the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)?   | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 9. Is the site a federal facility?   | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 10. Is the site outside of U.S. boundaries?  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 11. Is the site outside of EPA, Region IX borders?   | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 12. Is the site within Native American Tribal lands?   | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 13. Is the site currently under the control and management of a state/local agency? If yes, which agencies?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No            |
| 14. Is the site currently operating?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No            |
| 15. Is the site address valid?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No            |
| 16. Has the site been investigated under an alias?   | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

Comments: (1) There is no documentation of a release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants; Hazardous Waste Management Program Inspection Compliance Report is up to date. There were no visible hazardous substances, staining or drums observed at the time of the drive-by. (13) Contra Costa County

---

DECISION: ☐ No Further Action Under CERCLA

☒ Go to Section 2

## 2.0 TECHNICAL INFORMATION

This section contains information about site's operational history and environmental sampling. Complete the following section by filling in the blanks or checking the appropriate boxes. If a question cannot be answered, explain why. If a drive-by is performed, complete Attachment B.

### 2.1 Operational History

1a. List present site owner(s) and operator(s). [Include dates of ownership]:

Russel L. & Erma M. Turpen - current owner since 12/24/73

AMT Metals Fabrications Inc. - current operator

1b. Are hazardous substances presently on site?

[ ] Yes [x] No

If yes, how and where are substances stored and used?

---

---

---

---

---

2a. List historic site owner(s) and operator(s). [Include dates of ownership]:

---

---

2b. Were hazardous substances present on site in the past?

[ ] Yes [x] No

If yes, how and where were substances stored and used?

There were no visible hazardous substances at the time of the drive-by.

---

---

---

Additional comments:

---

---

---

---

## 6.0 SITE RECOMMENDATION

Site Name: AMT Metal Fabricators  
EPA ID Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Site Screener: Jacinto Soto  
Date: May 11, 1999

### 6.1. Further Site Assessment Warranted

6.1.a Under DTSC Lead [ ]

Recommend further site investigation under DTSC lead.

6.1.b Under EPA Cooperative Agreement  
High Priority [ ] Medium Priority [ ] Low Priority [ ]

Recommend further site investigation under the EPA cooperative agreement.

6.2. Recommended for Removal Assessment [ ]  
or Expanded Removal Assessment [ ]

Recommend referral to EPA's Removal Section.

6.3. Referral To DTSC'S Hazardous Waste Management Program  
(REFRC) [ ]

Recommend REFRC for sites that can be remediated as a Corrective Action under H&S Code 25187.

6.4 Referral to Regional Water Quality Control Board (REFRW) [ ]

Recommend REFRW for sites that fall under RWQCB authority and for which RWQCB is providing oversight of investigation/remediation.

6.5 Referral to another agency (REFOA) [ ]

Recommend REFOA for sites where another agency (other than RWQCB) including DTSC is providing or has provided oversight. Name agency below.

6.6 No Action Under CERCLA [x]

Recommend No Action for sites where documented contamination is not significant by EPA/DTSC standards and the presence of greater contamination is unlikely.

Comments: (6.6) Contra Costa County Environmental Health Department has/is providing oversight, but there is no suspected release.

EPA CONCURRENCE: \_\_\_\_\_

  
signature

5-17-99

date

**Attachment A**

**SITE SCREENING CONTACT LOG**

**Site Name: 211 Parr Boulevard      Site Screener: Jacinto Soto**

<b>Contact Name</b>	<b>Affiliation</b>	<b>Telephone Number</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Discussion</b>
Ms. Jerri Rosner	CCCEHD	(510)646-2286	5/15/98	Letter requesting to review the property's files.
Ms. Sharon Castro	City of Richmond Fire Department	(510)307-8031	5/29/98	Letter requesting to review the property's files.
Ms. Jerri Rosner	CCCEHD	(510)646-2286	4/30/99	Letter requesting to review the property's files.
Ms. Sharon Castro	City of Richmond Fire Department	(510)307-8031	4/30/99	Letter requesting to review the property's files.
Ms. Jerri Rosner	CCCEHD	(510)646-2286	5/3/99	Review files. Waste stream is carbon dioxide and waste oil. Hazardous Waste Management Program Inspection Report is current.
Ms. Sharon Castro	City of Richmond Fire Department	(510)307-8031	5/4/99	No files

## ATTACHMENT B

### SITE SCREENING OBSERVATION RECORD

Site Name: 211 Parr Boulevard  
 EPA ID Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Site Screener: Jacinto Soto  
 Date: May 7, 1999

1. Status: Active AMT Metals Fabrications Different Company \_\_\_\_\_  
 Inactive \_\_\_\_\_

2. Setting: Residential \_\_\_\_\_ Commercial x  
 Industrial x Agricultural \_\_\_\_\_  
 Paved x Unpaved \_\_\_\_\_  
 Restricted Unrestricted  
 access x access \_\_\_\_\_  
 Near RR Tracks \_\_\_\_\_ Near drainage \_\_\_\_\_  
 Vegetation none  
 Topography Flat

3. Visibility: clear

4. Waste Description:

Containment:

Pond _____	Pit _____	Ditch _____
Drums _____	Tanks _____	Buckets _____
Trash can _____	Dumpster _____	Sacks _____
Piles _____	Scattered _____	Other _____

Stored On:

Bare Ground _____	Asphalt _____	Pallets _____
Gravel _____	Concrete _____	Other _____

Waste Type:

Inert _____	Garbage _____	Liquid _____
Solid _____	Sludge _____	Gas _____

Describe quantities, labelling, colors, odors, etc.: None noted during the drive-by.

5. Distance to surface water and sensitive environments or ecosystems:

<100 feet

6. Proximity to residences, schools, daycare facilities, hospitals, nursing homes, etc.:

<500 feet

7. Estimated number of people living or working in the area: \_\_\_\_\_

Unknown

8. Distance to food processing/packaging or agricultural production: \_\_\_\_\_

Unknown

9. Additional Information: AMT shares the parcel with Cresco Equipment Rentals. AMT's fabrication building is located at the back of the Cresco building with a driveway entrance east of Cresco.

10. Sketch a diagram of the facility with relevant features and labels.

